

Two-Loop Computation in Superstring Theory*

Chuan-Jie Zhu[†]

Institute of Theoretical Physics

Chinese Academy of Sciences

P. O. Box 2735

Beijing 100080, P. R. China

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In this paper I review some old and new works on the computation of two-loop 4-particle amplitude in superstring theory. I also present the proof by Iengo, showing the vanishing of the term related to the two-loop correction to the R^4 term. Finally I will present some recent works on two-loop computation in hyperelliptic language following the new gauging fixing method of D'Hoker and Phong.

1 String perturbation theory

In the Polyakov approach to string perturbation theory, the particle amplitude is given as

$$A_n(k_i, \epsilon_i) = \sum_{\text{topologies}} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}(\text{geometry}) \mathcal{D}(\text{string coordinates})}{\text{Vol.}(\text{symmetry group})}$$

*Based on the talk given at the International Conference on String Theory in Beijing, August 17–19, 2002 and the talk given at a Symposium on the occasion at the Founding of the Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, November 23–26, 2002. This work is supported in part by fund from the National Natural Science Foundation of China with grant Number 90103004.

[†]e-mail: zhucj@itp.ac.cn

$$\times \int_{\Sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n d^2 z_i V(k_i, \epsilon_i; z_i, \bar{z}_i) e^{-S}, \quad (1)$$

where $V(k_i, \epsilon_i; z_i, \bar{z}_i)$ is the vertex for the emission of the i -th particle with momentum k_i and polarization tensor ϵ_i .

For bosonic string theory¹ the action S is given as follows:

$$S = \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{g} g^{ab} \partial_a X \cdot \partial_b X, \quad (2)$$

where X are string coordinates describing the embedding of string in space-time, g_{ab} ($g^{ab} = (g^{-1})_{ab}$ is its inverse) is the world-sheet metric and σ^a ($a = 1, 2$) are local coordinates on the world-sheet. It is not difficult to see that this action has the following symmetries:

- Reparametrization of the coordinates σ^a ;
- Weyl rescaling of the metric $g_{ab} \rightarrow e^{\phi(\sigma)} g_{ab}$;
- Global symmetries of X : $X \rightarrow \Lambda \cdot X + A$.

The first step of string perturbation theory is to factor out all the symmetries of the path integral and write the amplitude as an integral over the moduli space. To achieve this we decompose an arbitrary variation of the metric g_{ab} as follows:

$$\delta g_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \nabla_{\bar{z}} \bar{v}_{\bar{z}} + 2g_{z\bar{z}} \delta y^i \mu_{\bar{z}}^{iz}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mu_{\bar{z}}^{iz}$'s are called Beltrami differentials. They can be contracted with the holomorphic 2-differentials ϕ^i as follows:

$$\langle \phi^i, \mu^j \rangle = \langle \mu^j, \phi^i \rangle = \int d^2 z \phi_{zz}^i \mu_{\bar{z}}^{jz}. \quad (4)$$

The presence of the last term in (3) indicates that there are non-trivial moduli which are denoted by y^i 's. It can be proved that the moduli space can be given a complex structure and so we will use y^i as complex coordinates of the moduli space. By factoring out the symmetry group the partition function

¹We consider only oriented closed string theory in this paper.

at genus $g \geq 2$ is given as follows²:

$$Z_g = \int_{M_g} \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} d^2 y^i \frac{|\det \langle \phi^i, \mu^j \rangle|^2}{\det \langle \phi^i | \phi^j \rangle} \det'(\nabla_z \nabla_{-1}^z) \int \mathcal{D}X e^{-S[X]}. \quad (6)$$

Here $\det'$ denotes an determinant omitting the zero eigenvalue. By introducing ghost fields we have

$$Z_g = \int_{M_g} \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} d^2 y^i \int \mathcal{D}[X b c \bar{b} \bar{c}] \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} |\langle b, \mu^i \rangle|^2 e^{-S[X] - S_{\text{gh}}[b, c, \bar{b}, \bar{c}]}. \quad (7)$$

The above can also be extended to superstring theory. Here the natural formalism is a super extension of the world-sheet, i.e. $N = 1$ supergeometry. The analog of Riemann surfaces and moduli space will be super Riemann surfaces and supermoduli space. A complete account of this formalism can be found in [1]. The measure was first derived in [2]. Here we only briefly recall the result.

For superstring theory the action is given as follows:

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{mn} \partial_m x^\mu \partial_n x^\mu + \psi^\mu \gamma^m \partial_m \psi^\mu - \psi^\mu \gamma^n \gamma^m \chi_n \partial_m x^\mu - \frac{1}{4} \psi^\mu \gamma^n \gamma^m \chi_n (\chi_m \psi^\mu) \right). \quad (8)$$

This action is constructed so as to be invariant under diffeomorphisms, local $N = 1$ supersymmetry, Weyl and super Weyl transformations of the world-sheet. In super conformal gauge it reduces to the following form:

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 z (\partial_z X \cdot \partial_{\bar{z}} X - \psi_+ \cdot \partial_{\bar{z}} \psi_{+\mu} - \psi_- \cdot \partial_z \psi_- + \chi_{\bar{z}}^+ \psi_+ \cdot \partial_z X + \chi_z^- \psi_- \cdot \partial_{\bar{z}} X - \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\bar{z}}^+ \chi_z^- \psi_+ \cdot \psi_-). \quad (9)$$

Following the same strategy as in bosonic string theory, we decompose an arbitrary variation of $\chi_{\bar{z}}^+$ as follows:

$$\chi_{\bar{z}}^+ = \partial_{\bar{z}} \delta \epsilon(z, \bar{z}) + \sum_{a=1}^{2g-2} \rho^a \chi_{a\bar{z}}^+, \quad (10)$$

² $\langle \phi^i | \phi^j \rangle$ is defined as follows:

$$\langle \phi^i | \phi^j \rangle = \int d^2 z g^{z\bar{z}} (\phi^i)^* \phi^j. \quad (5)$$

where ρ^a 's are odd super-moduli and $\chi_{a\bar{z}}^+$ are a basis of super Beltrami differentials. The presence of the last term (containing ρ^a) in (10) signifies the presence of non-trivial super-moduli, i.e. we cannot set $\chi_{\bar{z}}^+$ to zero completely by supersymmetry transformations. For our convenience we can choose delta function for these differentials:

$$\chi_z^- = \partial_z \bar{\epsilon}(z, \bar{z}) + \sum_a \bar{\rho}^a \delta^2(z - r_a), \quad (11)$$

$$\chi_{\bar{z}}^+ = \partial_{\bar{z}} \epsilon(z, \bar{z}) + \sum_a \rho^a \delta^2(z - l_a). \quad (12)$$

It is important to choose $r_a \neq l_b$ for any a, b . Otherwise the last term in eq. (9) will give a non-vanishing contribution, violating the splitting of the integrand into a product of left part and right part.

The final formula for the measure after integration over super-moduli ρ^a is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{g \geq 2} = & \int_{\mathcal{M}_g} \prod_i d^2 m_i \int \mathcal{D}[X \psi b c \beta \gamma \bar{b} \bar{c} \bar{\beta} \bar{\gamma}] e^{-(S[X, \psi] + S_{\text{gh}}[b, c, \beta, \gamma] + S_{\text{gh}}[\bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}])} \\ & \times \prod_a \delta(\beta(l_a)) J(l_a) \prod_i \langle \mu_i, b \rangle \times (\text{anti-holomorphic part}), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $J(z)$ is the total supercurrent:

$$J(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi(z) \cdot \partial_z X(z) + \frac{1}{2} b(z) \gamma(z) - \frac{3}{2} \beta(z) \partial_z c(z) - \partial_z \beta(z) c(z). \quad (14)$$

Here the subscript “-” for ψ is omitted as the variable z will indicated whether it is left-handed or right-handed.

2 One-loop amplitudes

Green and Schwarz computed one-loop amplitudes before 1982. You can find these computations in their papers [3, 4] and the book of Green, Schwarz and Witten [5]. A modern version can be found also in the big book of Polchinski [6]. What we will do here is to repeat this calculation in hyperelliptic language of the Riemann surface [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

First we remind that a genus- g Riemann surface, which is the appropriate world sheet for one and two loops, can be described in full generality by means

of the hyperelliptic formalism. This is based on a representation of the surface as two sheet covering of the complex plane described by the equation:

$$y^2(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2} (z - a_i), \quad (15)$$

The complex numbers a_i , ($i = 1, \dots, 2g + 2$) are the $2g + 2$ branch points, by going around them one passes from one sheet to the other. For one-loop ($g = 1$) one of them represent the moduli of the genus 1 Riemann surface (the torus) over which the integration is performed, while the other three can be arbitrarily fixed.

Also in $g = 1$, by choosing a canonical homology basis of cycles we have the following list of three even spin structures:

$$s_2 \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_2 | a_3 a_4), \quad (16)$$

$$s_3 \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_3 | a_2 a_4), \quad (17)$$

$$s_4 \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_4 | a_2 a_3). \quad (18)$$

We will denote an even spin structure as $(A_1 A_2 | B_1 B_2)$. The one odd spin structure s_1 gives a vanishing contribution to the n -particle amplitude for $n \leq 4$ because of the presence of zero modes.

For even spin structures there is no odd supermoduli and the n -particle amplitude (for $n \leq 4$) is given as follows:

$$A_n(k_i, \epsilon_i) = \int d\mu \prod_{i=1}^n d^2 z_i \sum_s \eta_s Q_s \langle \prod_{i=1}^n V(k_i, \epsilon_i; z_i, \bar{z}_i) \rangle_s \times (\text{right part}), \quad (19)$$

where the measure $d\mu$ is (in terms of the branch points):

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{T^6} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 d^2 a_i / dV_{pr}}{|\prod_{i < j} a_{ij}|^4}, \quad (20)$$

for type II superstring theory. $Q_s = (A_1 - A_2)(B_1 - B_2)$ are spin structure dependent factors from the determinants and η_s are phases which guarantee modular invariance. Here in hyperelliptic Riemann surface language modular invariance is just the invariance under the permutations of the 4 branch

points. The other quantities appearing in eq. (20) are $T = \int \frac{d^2 z}{|y(z)|^2}$ and $d\mu = \frac{d^2 a_i d^2 a_j d^2 a_k}{|a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{ki}|^2}$. In (19) the summation over spin structures was written only for the left part. Appropriate factor of $Q_{s'}$ and the summation over s' are vaguely denoted as (right part).

By explicit calculation we have the following identities:

$$\Lambda = \sum_s \eta_s Q_s = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\sum_s \eta_s Q_s \langle \psi\psi(z_1) \psi\psi(z_2) \psi\psi(z_3) \rangle = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\sum_s \eta_s Q_s \langle \psi\psi(z_1) \psi\psi(z_2) \psi\psi(z_3) \psi\psi(z_4) \rangle \neq 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\sum_s \eta_s Q_s S_s(z_1, z_2) S_s(z_2, z_3) S_s(z_3, z_4) S_s(z_4, z_1) = \frac{\prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i)}. \quad (24)$$

Here in eq. (21) we can arbitrarily fix $\eta_2 = 1$ and the other two η_s 's can be deduced by the requirement of modular invariance. In fact modular invariance here means the antisymmetric property of the cosmological constant Λ under the interchange of two arbitrary branch points $a_i \leftrightarrow a_j$.

By using these identities we found that the cosmological constant and n -point function ($n \leq 3$) are zero point-wise in moduli space. By using eq. (24) we can compute the left (holomorphic) part of the 4-point amplitude and the result is:

$$(4 - \text{point} : \text{left part}) = (\text{kinematic factor}) \times \frac{\prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i)}, \quad (25)$$

and the full amplitude (for type II superstring theory and bosons from the NS-NS sector) is then

$$\begin{aligned} A_4(k_i, \epsilon_i) &= K(k_i, \epsilon_i) \int \frac{1}{T^6} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 d^2 a_i / dV_{pr}}{|\prod_{i<j} a_{ij}|^2} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^2 z_i}{|y(z_i)|^2} \exp\left[\sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j \langle X(z_i, \bar{z}_i) X(z_j, \bar{z}_j) \rangle\right], \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where K is the same kinematic factor as found in tree-level amplitude. This result is identical with the one obtained in the Θ -function formalism [4, 5]:

$$A_4(k_i, \epsilon_i) = K(k_i, \epsilon_i) \int_F \frac{d^2 \tau}{(\text{Im} \tau)^6}$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^4 d^2 z'_i \exp[\sum_{i<j} k_i \cdot k_j \langle X(z'_i, \bar{z}'_i) X(z'_j, \bar{z}'_j) \rangle]. \quad (27)$$

This can be proved by using the following transformations:

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial a_i} = \frac{i\pi}{2} (\hat{\omega}(a_i))^2, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{dz_i}{Ky(z_i)} = dz'_i, \quad (29)$$

$$T = |K|^2 \text{Im}\tau, \quad \omega(z) = \frac{1}{Ky(z)}. \quad (30)$$

For $k \rightarrow 0$ the 4-particle amplitude can be computed exactly. A non-zero result is obtained apart from the kinematical factor:

$$A_4(k_i, \epsilon_i) \rightarrow K(k_i, \epsilon_i) \times \frac{\pi}{3}. \quad (31)$$

This shows that the one-loop correction to the R^4 term [12] is non-vanishing.

3 Two-loop amplitudes

Here at two loops we use the same strategy as at one loop. There are ten even spin structures which we list in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_2 a_3 | a_4 a_5 a_6), & s_2 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_2 a_4 | a_3 a_5 a_6), \\ s_3 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_2 a_5 | a_3 a_4 a_6), & s_4 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_2 a_6 | a_3 a_4 a_5), \\ s_5 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_3 a_4 | a_2 a_5 a_6), & s_6 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_3 a_5 | a_2 a_4 a_6), \\ s_7 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_3 a_6 | a_2 a_4 a_5), & s_8 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_4 a_5 | a_2 a_3 a_6), \\ s_9 &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_4 a_6 | a_2 a_3 a_5), & s_{10} &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim (a_1 a_5 a_6 | a_2 a_3 a_4). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote an even spin structure as $(A_1 A_2 A_3 | B_1 B_2 B_3)$. By convention $A_1 = a_1$. As in one loop the spin structure dependent factor from determinants are encoded in the following factor [13]:

$$Q_s = \prod_{i<j} (A_i - A_j)(B_i - B_j), \quad (32)$$

which is a degree 6 homogeneous polynomials in a_i .

At two loops there are two odd supermoduli and this gives two insertions of supercurrent at two different points x_1 and x_2 . As it was done in [13] it is quite convenient to choose these two insertion points as the two zeros of a holomorphic abelian differential which are moduli independent points on the Riemann surface. In hyperelliptic language these two points are the same points on the upper and lower sheet of the surface.

As in one loop we can prove the following identities:

$$\Lambda = \sum_s \eta_s Q_s \sum_{i=1}^3 (A_i^n - B_i^n) = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\sum_s \eta_s Q_s \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_3)u(z_4)} - \frac{u(z_3)u(z_4)}{u(z_1)u(z_2)} \right\} = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_s \eta_s Q_s \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_3)u(z_4)} + \frac{u(z_3)u(z_4)}{u(z_1)u(z_2)} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^3 (A_i^n - B_i^n) \\ &= \frac{2P(a) \prod_{i=1}^2 (z_i - z_3)(z_i - z_4)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i)} \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i - \sum_{k=1}^4 z_k & n = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

By using these identities we found that the cosmological constant and n -point function ($n \leq 3$) are zero point-wise in moduli space [14, 15]. Moreover for the 4-particle amplitude the “cross contraction” terms

$$\langle J(x_1)\psi(z_i) \rangle \langle J(x_2)\psi(z_j)(\cdots) \rangle, \quad (36)$$

also gives vanishing contribution after summation over spin structures. By using eq. (35) we can compute explicitly the 4-particle amplitude. The difficult part is to compute the ghost contributions and this has been achieved in [16, 15]. The full amplitude (for type II superstring theory and bosons from the NS-NS sector) is [16, 15]:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{II}(k_i, \epsilon_i) &= c_{II} K \int \frac{d^2 a_1 d^2 a_2 d^2 a_3 |a_{45} a_{46} a_{56}|^2}{T^5 \prod_{i < j}^6 |a_{ij}|^2} \prod_{l=1}^4 \frac{d^2 z_l (r - z_l)(\bar{s} - \bar{z}_l)}{|y(z_l)|^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \left(I(r) \bar{I}(\bar{s}) + \frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{T y(r) \bar{y}(\bar{s})} \int \frac{d^2 w (r - w)(\bar{s} - \bar{w})}{|y(w)|^2} \right)^2 \right) \langle \prod e^{ik \cdot X} \rangle \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16} \langle \partial X(r+) \cdot \partial X(r-) \bar{\partial} X(\bar{s}+) \cdot \bar{\partial} X(\bar{s}-) \prod e^{ik \cdot X} \rangle \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{16} \langle \partial X(r+) \cdot \partial X(r-) \bar{\partial} X(\bar{s}+) \cdot \bar{\partial} X(\bar{s}-) \rangle \langle \prod e^{ik \cdot X} \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where c_{II} is an overall constant which should be fixed by unitarity and K is the standard kinematic factor (for $\epsilon_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_i^\mu \tilde{\epsilon}_i^\nu$) [3, 16, 15]:

$$K = K_R \cdot K_L, \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_R = & -\frac{1}{4}(st\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_4 + su\epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 + tu\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_4) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}s(\epsilon_1 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_2 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_4 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 \\ & \quad +\epsilon_1 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_4 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_2 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_3 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}t(\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_4 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_3 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_1 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_4 \\ & \quad +\epsilon_2 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_1 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_3 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_4 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}u(\epsilon_1 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_4 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_3 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 \\ & \quad +\epsilon_1 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_2 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_4 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$K_L = K_R(\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}). \quad (40)$$

Here s , t and u are the standard Mandelstam variables for the 4-gravitons. Defining $t_{\mu_1\nu_1\mu_2\nu_2\mu_3\nu_3\mu_4\nu_4}$ as follows:

$$K_R = t_{\mu_1\nu_1\mu_2\nu_2\mu_3\nu_3\mu_4\nu_4} \epsilon_1^{\mu_1} k_1^{\nu_1} \epsilon_2^{\mu_2} k_2^{\nu_2} \epsilon_3^{\mu_3} k_3^{\nu_3} \epsilon_4^{\mu_4} k_4^{\nu_4}, \quad (41)$$

the R^4 term is given as follows:

$$R^4 = t^{\mu_1\nu_1\mu_2\nu_2\mu_3\nu_3\mu_4\nu_4} t^{\rho_1\sigma_1\rho_2\sigma_2\rho_3\sigma_3\rho_4\sigma_4} R_{\mu_1\nu_1\rho_1\sigma_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2\rho_2\sigma_2} R_{\mu_3\nu_3\rho_3\sigma_3} R_{\mu_4\nu_4\rho_4\sigma_4}. \quad (42)$$

The other functions appearing in (37) are

$$T(a_i, \bar{a}_i) = \int \frac{d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 |z_1 - z_2|^2}{|y(z_1) y(z_2)|^2}, \quad (43)$$

which is proportional to the determinant of the period matrix (see ref. [15]), and

$$\begin{aligned} I(r) = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j}^6 \frac{1}{r - a_i} \frac{1}{r - a_j} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i < j}^3 \frac{1}{r - a_i} \frac{1}{r - a_j} \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{r - a_i} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{r - a_i} \right) \sum_{l=1}^4 \frac{1}{r - z_l} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{r - a_i} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{r - a_i} - \frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{r - a_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \ln T, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

We will discuss the property of the above amplitude in the next section.

Green and Gutperle [17] have studied the effects of D -instantons. They conjectured that there are perturbative corrections to the R^4 term only from one-loop. Green and Sethi [18] proved this conjecture from the SUSY constraints on type IIB supergravity. In [19], Green, Gutperle and Vanhove argued the vanishing of the perturbative correction to the R^4 term by using the one loop amplitude in eleven dimensions. If our computation is correct we should expect a zero contribution from two loops. We will discuss this issue in the next two sections.

4 Explicit modular invariant 4-particle amplitude

In [23], we get an explicit modular invariant 4-particle amplitude³:

$$\begin{aligned}
AII_0 = & c_{II} K \int \frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 d^2 A_i}{T^5 \prod_{i<j}^6 |A_{ij}|^2} \prod_{l=1}^4 \frac{d^2 Z_l (r - Z_l)(\bar{s} - \bar{Z}_l)}{|Y(Z_l)|^2} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^3 \delta^2(Z_i - Z_i^0) \prod_{i<j=1}^3 |Z_i^0 - Z_j^0|^2 \\
& \times \left\{ I_M(r) \bar{I}_M(\bar{s}) + \frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{T Y(r) \bar{Y}(\bar{s})} \int \frac{d^2 v (r - v)(\bar{s} - \bar{v})}{|Y(v)|^2} \right)^2 \right\}. \quad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

where $I_M(x)$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_M(x) = & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{(x - A_i)^2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i<j}^6 \frac{1}{x - A_i} \frac{1}{x - A_j} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{x - A_i} \sum_{l=1}^4 \frac{1}{x - Z_l} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k<l=1}^4 \frac{1}{x - Z_k} \frac{1}{x - Z_l} - \frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{x - A_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \ln T, \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

Again, r and $\bar{s}$ are arbitrary and the result does not depend on them.

By using the above formula, one can prove that the 4-particle amplitude is finite. We refer the reader to [23] for details.

³For simplicity we set $k \rightarrow 0$ everywhere except in the kinematic factor. The derivation also goes with non zero k .

5 The vanishing of the 4-particle amplitude in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$

In this section we present the proof of the vanishing of the perturbative correction to the R^4 term at two loops by Iengo [24]. The method used is a direct calculation of the obtained integral in moduli space.

First we take the two insertion points to be $r = z_1^0$ and $s = z_2^0$.⁴ Then we set $z_1^0 \rightarrow \infty$, $z_2^0 \rightarrow 0$ and $z_3^0 = x$ and see how the integral varies with x . By making these choices we have:

$$AII_0 = -\bar{x}|x|^2 \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z}}{|y(z)y(0)y(x)|^2} \left[RL - \frac{1}{\bar{x}}L - xR + \frac{x}{\bar{x}} \right], \quad (47)$$

where

$$d\mu \equiv \frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 d^2a_i}{T^5 \prod_{i<j}^6 |a_i - a_j|^2}, \quad (48)$$

$$L \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i - z, \quad R \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{\bar{a}_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{z}}. \quad (49)$$

It can be checked that AII_0 is independent of x , by rescaling the integration variables, and that the integral is convergent, by the same analysis summarized in the Sect. 3 of ref. [23] (see the tables there).

We begin by observing that:

$$\frac{1}{|y(0)|^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{\bar{a}_i} \right] = - \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}_i} \frac{1}{|y(0)|^2}. \quad (50)$$

Therefore, the following identity holds for an integral expression which we call Q :

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\equiv \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z}}{|y(z)y(0)y(x)|^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{\bar{a}_i} \right] (L - x) \\ &= \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z}}{|y(0)|^2} \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}_i} \frac{L - x}{|y(z)y(x)|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

⁴We change all Z_i to z_i and A_i to a_i .

by integrating by parts and observing that $\sum_{i=1}^6 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}_i} \frac{1}{T^5 \prod |a_i - a_j|^2} \right] = 0$.⁵

Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}_i} \left[\frac{L-x}{|y(z)y(x)|^2} \right] = -(L-x) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} \right] \frac{1}{|y(z)y(x)|^2}. \quad (53)$$

Thus, by integrating by parts in d^2z we get:

$$Q = \int d\mu \int d^2z \frac{(L-x)}{|y(0)y(z)|^2} \left[1 - \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} \right] \frac{1}{|y(x)|^2}. \quad (54)$$

The result of the above steps is that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\mu \int d^2z \frac{\bar{z}}{|y(0)y(z)y(x)|^2} R(L-x) \\ &= \int d\mu \int d^2z \frac{(L-x)}{|y(0)y(z)|^2} \left[1 - \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} - \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} \right] \frac{1}{|y(x)|^2} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} \int d\mu \int d^2z \frac{\bar{z}}{|y(0)y(z)y(x)|^2} (L-x). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

By using the previous results, we see that we can write our amplitude $AI I_0$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} AI I_0 &= \bar{x}|x|^2 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \right] \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z} L}{|y(z)y(0)y(x)|^2} \\ &\quad - |x|^4 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \right] \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z}}{|y(z)y(0)y(x)|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Now we perform a rescaling of the integration variables:

$$a_i \rightarrow x a_i, \quad z \rightarrow x z, \quad (57)$$

and we have

$$\int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z} L}{|y(z)y(0)y(x)|^2} = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z} L}{|y(z)y(0)y(1)|^2}, \quad (58)$$

$$\int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z}}{|y(z)y(0)y(x)|^2} = \frac{1}{x|x|^2} \int d\mu \int \frac{d^2z \bar{z}}{|y(z)y(0)y(1)|^2}. \quad (59)$$

⁵We note that

$$\int d^2a \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}} f(a, \bar{a}) \times \frac{1}{a^n} = \int d^2a \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{a}} \left[f(a, \bar{a}) \times \frac{1}{a^n} \right], \quad (52)$$

may not exactly integrate to 0. One should carefully study the singularity at $a = 0$.

Noting that

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \right] \frac{1}{\bar{x}} = 0, \quad (60)$$

we conclude that the amplitude $AI\bar{I}_0$ is zero, and therefore there is no perturbative contribution to the invariant R^4 term at two loops.

This is in agreement with the indirect argument of Green and Gutperle [17], Green, Gutperle and Vanhove [19], and Green and Sethi [18] that the R^4 term does not receive perturbative contributions beyond one loop. Recently, Stieberger and Taylor [20] also used the result of [16] to prove the vanishing of the heterotic two-loop F^4 term. For some closely related works we refer the reader to the reviews [21, 22].

6 Recent works at two-loop superstring: work done with Zhu-Jun Zheng and Jun-Bao Wu

The problem with previous gauge fixing is the residual dependence on the insertion points. This is due to the non-supersymmetric projection of supermoduli to (even) moduli. In some recent papers [25, 26, 27, 28], D'Hoker and Phong carried out a new supersymmetric gauge fixing for the two-loop case. The even moduli are super period-matrix. For a recent review see ref. [29]. We have done explicit computations by using the newly obtained results for the cosmological constant and n -particle amplitude for all $n \leq 4$. Here we will briefly present the main results and refer the reader to [30, 31, 32] for full details. We note that D'Hoker and Phong also do these computations by using θ -function formalism and the split gauge which is different from our choice of the gauge [33, 34]. Although the final results are exactly the expected, their computation is quite difficult to follow because of the use of theta functions.⁶ Also modular invariance is absurd in their computations because of the complicated dependence between the 2 insertion points (the insertion points are also spin structure dependent). Of course the final result should be independent on the gauge choice.

⁶In [35], the two-loop 4-particle amplitude was also computed by using theta functions. Its relation with the previous explicit result [16] has not been clarified.

6.1 Some conventions and formulas

We will follow the notations of D'Hoker and Phong [25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Here in this subsection we will list some conventions and all the relevant formulas needed to do explicit computations for the 4-particle amplitude at two loops.

In the following we will give some formulas in hyperelliptic representation which will be used later. First all the relevant correlators are given by⁷

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) \rangle &= -\delta^{\mu\nu} G_{1/2}[\delta](z, w) = -\delta^{\mu\nu} S_\delta(z, w), \\ \langle b(z) c(w) \rangle &= +G_2(z, w), \\ \langle \beta(z) \gamma(w) \rangle &= -G_{3/2}[\delta](z, w),\end{aligned}\tag{61}$$

where

$$S_\delta(z, w) = \frac{1}{z - w} \frac{u(z) + u(w)}{2\sqrt{u(z)u(w)}},\tag{62}$$

$$u(z) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{z - A_i}{z - B_i} \right)^{1/2},\tag{63}$$

$$G_2(z, w) = -H(w, z) + \sum_{a=1}^3 H(w, p_a) \varpi_a(z, z),\tag{64}$$

$$H(w, z) = \frac{1}{2(w - z)} \left(1 + \frac{y(w)}{y(z)} \right) \frac{y(w)}{y(z)},\tag{65}$$

$$G_{3/2}[\delta](z, w) = -P(w, z) + P(w, q_1) \psi_1^*(z) + P(w, q_2) \psi_2^*(z),\tag{66}$$

$$P(w, z) = \frac{1}{\Omega(w)} S_\delta(w, z) \Omega(z),\tag{67}$$

where $\Omega(z)$ is a holomorphic abelian differential satisfying $\Omega(q_{1,2}) \neq 0$ and otherwise arbitrary. These correlators were adapted from [36]. $\varpi_a(z, w)$ are defined in [25] and $\psi_{1,2}^*(z)$ are the two holomorphic $\frac{3}{2}$ -differentials. When no confusion is possible, the dependence on the spin structure $[\delta]$ will not be exhibited. The formulas for the $\langle X(z) X(w) \rangle$ and related correlators are given in Appendix A.

In order take the limit of $x_{1,2} \rightarrow q_{1,2}$ we need the following expansions:

$$G_{3/2}(x_2, x_1) = \frac{1}{x_1 - q_1} \psi_1^*(x_2) - \psi_1^*(x_2) f_{3/2}^{(1)}(x_2) + O(x_1 - q_1),\tag{68}$$

$$G_{3/2}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{x_2 - q_2} \psi_2^*(x_1) - \psi_2^*(x_1) f_{3/2}^{(2)}(x_1) + O(x_2 - q_2),\tag{69}$$

⁷We follow closely the notation of [26].

for $x_{1,2} \rightarrow q_{1,2}$. By using the explicit expression of $G_{3/2}$ in (66) we have

$$f_{3/2}^{(1)}(q_2) = -\frac{\partial_{q_2} S(q_1, q_2)}{S(q_1, q_2)} + \partial \psi_2^*(q_2), \quad (70)$$

$$f_{3/2}^{(2)}(q_1) = \frac{\partial_{q_1} S(q_2, q_1)}{S(q_1, q_2)} + \partial \psi_1^*(q_1) = f_{3/2}^{(1)}(q_2)|_{q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2}. \quad (71)$$

The quantity $\psi_\alpha^*(z)$'s are holomorphic $\frac{3}{2}$ -differentials and are constructed as follows:

$$\psi_\alpha^*(z) = (z - q_\alpha) S(z, q_\alpha) \frac{y(q_\alpha)}{y(z)}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \quad (72)$$

For $z = q_{1,2}$ we have

$$\psi_\alpha^*(q_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (73)$$

$$\partial \psi_1^*(q_2) = -\partial \psi_2^*(q_1) = S(q_1, q_2) = \frac{i}{4} S_1(q), \quad (74)$$

$$\partial \psi_1^*(q_1) = \partial \psi_2^*(q_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_1(q), \quad (75)$$

$$\partial^2 \psi_1^*(q_1) = \partial^2 \psi_2^*(q_2) = \frac{1}{16} S_1^2(q) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_1^2(q) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_2(q), \quad (76)$$

where

$$\Delta_n(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{(x - a_i)^n}, \quad (77)$$

$$S_n(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\frac{1}{(x - A_i)^n} - \frac{1}{(x - B_i)^n} \right], \quad (78)$$

for $n = 1, 2$. This shows that $\partial \psi_\alpha^*(q_{\alpha+1})$ and $\partial^2 \psi_\alpha^*(q_\alpha)$ are spin structure dependent.

For correlators of the X field we refer the reader to ref. [32] for details.

6.2 The result of D'Hoker and Phong

The measure obtained in [25, 26, 27, 28] is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\delta] &= i\mathcal{Z} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3 + \mathcal{X}_4 + \mathcal{X}_5 + \mathcal{X}_6 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{Z} &= \frac{\langle \Pi_a b(p_a) \Pi_\alpha \delta(\beta(q_\alpha)) \rangle}{\det \omega_I \omega_J(p_a)}, \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

and the $\mathcal{X}_i$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_6 &= \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-\langle \psi(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_1) \psi(q_2) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad - \partial_{q_1} G_2(q_1, q_2) \partial \psi_1^*(q_2) + \partial_{q_2} G_2(q_2, q_1) \partial \psi_2^*(q_1) \\ &\quad \left. + 2G_2(q_1, q_2) \partial \psi_1^*(q_2) f_{3/2}^{(1)}(q_2) - 2G_2(q_2, q_1) \partial \psi_2^*(q_1) f_{3/2}^{(2)}(q_1) \right], \quad (80)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3 &= \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{8\pi^2} S_\delta(q_1, q_2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{a=1}^3 \tilde{\varpi}_a(q_1, q_2) \left[\langle T(\tilde{p}_a) \rangle + \tilde{B}_2(\tilde{p}_a) + \tilde{B}_{3/2}(\tilde{p}_a) \right], \quad (81)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}_4 &= \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{8\pi^2} S_\delta(q_1, q_2) \sum_{a=1}^3 \left[\partial_{p_a} \partial_{q_1} \ln E(p_a, q_1) \varpi_a^*(q_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_{p_a} \partial_{q_2} \ln E(p_a, q_2) \varpi_a^*(q_1) \right], \quad (82)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}_5 &= \frac{\zeta^1 \zeta^2}{16\pi^2} \sum_{a=1}^3 \left[S_\delta(p_a, q_1) \partial_{p_a} S_\delta(p_a, q_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S_\delta(p_a, q_2) \partial_{p_a} S_\delta(p_a, q_1) \right] \varpi_a(q_1, q_2). \quad (83)\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{B}_2$ and $\tilde{B}_{3/2}$ are given by

$$\tilde{B}_2(w) = -2 \sum_{a=1}^3 \partial_{p_a} \partial_w \ln E(p_a, w) \varpi_a^*(w), \quad (84)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{3/2}(w) = \sum_{a=1}^2 G_2(w, q_a) \partial_{q_a} \psi_\alpha^*(q_a) + \frac{3}{2} \partial_{q_a} G_2(w, q_a) \psi_\alpha^*(q_a). \quad (85)$$

In comparing with [27] we have written $\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3$ together. We also note that in eq. (81) the three arbitrary points $\tilde{p}_a$ ($a = 1, 2, 3$) can be different from the three insertion points p_a 's of the b ghost field. The symbol $\tilde{\varpi}_a$ is obtained from ϖ_a by changing p_a 's to $\tilde{p}_a$'s. In the next subsection we will take the limit of $\tilde{p}_1 \rightarrow q_1$. In this limit we have $\tilde{\varpi}_{2,3}(q_1, q_2) = 0$ and $\tilde{\varpi}_1(q_1, q_2) = -1$. This choice greatly simplifies the formulas and also make the summation over spin structure doable.

6.3 The vanishing of the cosmological constant and non-renormalization theorem

Before we do any computation of the amplitude we list some useful formulas:

$$\tilde{\varpi}_1(q_1, q_2) = -\frac{y^2(\tilde{p}_1)}{y^2(q)} \frac{(q - \tilde{p}_2)(q - \tilde{p}_3)}{(\tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_2)(\tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_3)}, \quad (86)$$

$$\tilde{\varpi}_2(q_1, q_2) = -\frac{y^2(\tilde{p}_2)}{y^2(q)} \frac{(q - \tilde{p}_1)(q - \tilde{p}_3)}{(\tilde{p}_2 - \tilde{p}_1)(\tilde{p}_2 - \tilde{p}_3)}, \quad (87)$$

$$\tilde{\varpi}_3(q_1, q_2) = -\frac{y^2(\tilde{p}_3)}{y^2(q)} \frac{(q - \tilde{p}_1)(q - \tilde{p}_2)}{(\tilde{p}_3 - \tilde{p}_1)(\tilde{p}_3 - \tilde{p}_2)}, \quad (88)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_1^*(u) &= \frac{y(p_1)}{y(u)} \frac{(up_1 - \frac{1}{2}(u + p_1)(p_2 + p_3) + p_2p_3)}{(p_1 - p_2)(p_1 - p_3)} \\ &= \frac{y(p_1)}{y(u)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(u - p_1) \left(\frac{1}{p_1 - p_2} + \frac{1}{p_1 - p_3} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

$$\varpi_2^*(u) = \frac{y(p_2)}{y(u)} \frac{(up_2 - \frac{1}{2}(u + p_2)(p_3 + p_1) + p_1p_3)}{(p_2 - p_3)(p_2 - p_1)}, \quad (90)$$

$$\varpi_3^*(u) = \frac{y(p_3)}{y(u)} \frac{(up_3 - \frac{1}{2}(u + p_3)(p_1 + p_2) + p_1p_2)}{(p_3 - p_1)(p_3 - p_2)}. \quad (91)$$

We note here that $\tilde{\varpi}_1(q_1, q_2) = -1$ and $\tilde{\varpi}_{2,3}(q_1, q_2) = 0$ in the limit $\tilde{p}_1 \rightarrow q_{1,2}$.

The strategy we will follow is to isolate all the spin structure dependent parts first. As we will show in the following the spin structure dependent factors are just $S(q_1, q_2)$, $\partial_{q_2} S(q_1, q_2)$ and the Szegő kernel if we also include the vertex operators. Before we do this we will first write the chiral measure in hyperelliptic language and take the limit of $\tilde{p}_1 \rightarrow q_1$.

Let's start with $\mathcal{X}_5$. We have

$$(S(z, q_1)\partial_z S(z, q_2) - S(z, q_2)\partial_z S(z, q_1)) = \frac{i}{4(z - q)^2} S_1(z). \quad (92)$$

So the spin structure dependent factor from $\mathcal{X}_5$ is effectively $S(z+, z-)$ as shown by the following formulas:

$$S(q_1, q_2) = -S(q_2, q_1) = \frac{i}{4} S_1(q), \quad (93)$$

$$\partial_{q_2} S(q_1, q_2) = -\partial_{q_1} S(q_2, q_1) = -\frac{i}{8} S_2(q). \quad (94)$$

For $\mathcal{X}_4$, the spin structure dependent factor is simply $S_1(q) \propto S(q_1, q_2)$ as $\ln E(p_a, q_b)$ and $\varpi_a^*(q_b)$ are spin structure independent and their explicit expressions will not be given here.

For $\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3$, we first compute the various contributions from the different fields. The total stress energy tensor is:

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} : \partial_z X(z) \cdot \partial_z X(z) : + \frac{1}{2} : \psi(z) \cdot \partial_z \psi(z) : \\ &\quad - : (\partial b c + 2b \partial c + \frac{1}{2} \partial \beta \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \beta \partial \gamma)(z) : \\ &\equiv T_X(z) + T_\psi(z) + T_{bc}(z) + T_{\beta\gamma}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

in an obvious notations. The various contributions are

$$T_X(w) = -10T_1(w), \quad (96)$$

$$T_\psi(w) = 5\tilde{g}_{1/2}(w) = \frac{5}{32} (S_1(w))^2, \quad (97)$$

$$T_{bc}(w) = \tilde{g}_2(w) - 2\partial_w f_2(w), \quad (98)$$

$$T_{\beta\gamma}(w) = -\tilde{g}_{3/2}(w) + \frac{3}{2} \partial_w f_{3/2}(w), \quad (99)$$

where

$$f_2(w) = -\frac{3}{4} \Delta_1(w) + \sum_{a=1}^3 H(w, p_a) \varpi_a(w, w), \quad (100)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_2(w) &= \frac{5}{16} \Delta_1^2(w) + \frac{3}{8} \Delta_2(w) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^3 H(w, p_a) \varpi_a(w, w) \left(\frac{1}{w - p_{a+1}} + \frac{1}{w - p_{a+2}} - \Delta_1(w) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

$$f_{3/2}(w) = \frac{\Omega'(w)}{\Omega(w)} + \frac{\Omega(q_1)}{\Omega(w)} S(w, q_1) \psi_1^*(w) + \frac{\Omega(q_2)}{\Omega(w)} S(w, q_2) \psi_2^*(w), \quad (102)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{3/2}(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Omega''(w)}{\Omega(w)} + \frac{1}{32} (S_1(w))^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\Omega(q_1)}{\Omega(w)} S(w, q_1) \partial \psi_1^*(w) + \frac{\Omega(q_2)}{\Omega(w)} S(w, q_2) \partial \psi_2^*(w). \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

As we said in the last section we will take the limit of $w \rightarrow q_1$. In this limit $T_{\beta\gamma}(w)$ is singular and we have the following expansion:

$$T_{\beta\gamma}(w) = -\frac{3/2}{(w - q_1)^2} - \frac{\partial \psi_1^*(q_1)}{w - q_1} - \frac{1}{8} \Delta_1^2(q) - \frac{1}{32} S_1^2(q) + O(w - q_1). \quad (104)$$

The dependence on the abelian differential $\Omega(z)$ drops out. These singular terms are cancelled by similar singular terms in $\tilde{B}_{3/2}(w)$. By explicit computation we have: The dependence on the abelian differential $\Omega(z)$ drops out. These singular terms are cancelled by similar singular terms in $\tilde{B}_{3/2}(w)$. By explicit computation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{3/2}(w) = & \frac{3/2}{(w - q_1)^2} + \frac{\partial\psi_1^*(q_1)}{w - q_1} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta_1^2(q) + \frac{3}{4}\Delta_2(q) \\ & - \left(\frac{1}{p_1 - q} \frac{(q - p_2)(q - p_3)}{(p_1 - p_2)(p_1 - p_3)} \Delta_1(q) + \dots \right) \\ & - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(p_1 - q)^2} \frac{(q - p_2)(q - p_3)}{(p_1 - p_2)(p_1 - p_3)} + \dots \right) + O(w - q_1). \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where ... indicates two other terms obtained by cyclic permutating (p_1, p_2, p_3) . By using the above explicit result we see that the combined contributions of $T_{\beta\gamma}(w)$ and $\tilde{B}_{3/2}(w)$ are non-singular in the limit of $w \rightarrow q_1$. We can then take $\tilde{p}_1 \rightarrow q_1$ in $\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3$. In this limit only $a = 1$ contributes to $\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3$. This is because $\tilde{\omega}_{2,3}(q_1, q_2) = 0$ and $\tilde{\omega}_1(q_1, q_2) = -1$. $T_1(w)$ and $T_{bc}(w)$ are regular in this limit and spin structure independent. In summary, the spin structure dependent factors from $\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3$ are the following two kinds (not including the vertex operators which will be consider later in section 6):

$$S_1(q) \propto S(q_1, q_2), \quad \text{and} \quad (S_1(q))^3. \quad (106)$$

Here we note that if we don't take the limit of $w \rightarrow q_1$ (or $w \rightarrow q_2$ which has the same effect), the spin structure dependent factors from $\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3$ would be much more complicated. For example we will have a factor of the following kind:

$$S_1(q)(S_1(w))^2. \quad (107)$$

The summation over spin structure with this factor will give a non-vanishing contribution and it makes the computation much more involved. See [31] for details.

Finally we come to $\mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_6$. By using the explicit results given in eqs. (70)–(71), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_6 = & \langle \partial X(q_1) \cdot \partial X(q_2) \rangle S(q_1, q_2) \\ & - (\partial_{q_1} G_2(q_1, q_2) + \partial_{q_2} G_2(q_2, q_1)) S(q_1, q_2) \\ & + 2(G_2(q_1, q_2) + G_2(q_2, q_1)) \\ & \times (\partial\psi^*(q_1)S(q_1, q_2) - \partial_{q_2} S(q_1, q_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

As $G_2(q_1, q_2)$ is spin structure independent, we see that all the spin structure dependent factors are the following two kinds:

$$S(q_1, q_2) = \frac{i}{4} S_1(q), \quad (109)$$

and

$$\partial_{q_2} S(q_1, q_2) = \frac{i}{8} S_2(q). \quad (110)$$

Here it is important that the factor $\partial\psi_1^*(q_2)$ cancels the factor $S(q_1, q_2)$ appearing in the denominator of $f_{3/2}^{(1)}(q_2)$.

From all the above results we see that all the spin structure dependent parts (for the cosmological constant) are as follows:

$$c_1 S_1(q) + c_2 S_2(q) + c_3 S_1^3(q) + \sum_{a=1}^3 d_a S_1(p_a). \quad (111)$$

In computing the n -particle amplitude there are more spin structure factors coming from the correlators of ψ . They will be included at appropriate places.

The vanishing of the cosmological constant is proved by using the following identities:

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S_n(x) = 0, \quad (112)$$

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S_1^3(x) = 0, \quad (113)$$

for $n = 1, 2$ and arbitrary x .

For the non-renormalization theorem we need more identities. For graviton and the antisymmetric tensor the vertex operator is (left part only):

$$V_i(k_i, \epsilon_i, z_i) = (\epsilon \cdot \partial X(z_i) + i k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon_i \cdot \psi(z_i)) e^{i k_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)}. \quad (114)$$

Because the vertex operator doesn't contain any ghost fields, all terms involving ghost fields can be explicit computed which we have done in the above. For the computation of amplitudes of other kinds of particles (like fermions), one either resorts to supersymmetry or can use similar method which was used in [38, 39].

By including the vertex operators we need to consider the following extra spin structure dependent terms:

$$\text{from } \mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_6 : \quad \langle \psi(q_1) \psi(q_2) \prod_i k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle, \quad (115)$$

$$\text{from } \mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{X}_3 : \quad S_1(q) \langle \psi(q_1) \cdot \psi(q_1) \prod_i k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle. \quad (116)$$

The other terms are just the direct product of eq. (111) with the correlators from the vertex operators $\langle \prod_i k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle$. Let's study these direct product terms first.

To prove the non-renormalization theorem we restrict our attention to 3 or less particle amplitude. For the 3-particle amplitude we have

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle \propto S(z_1, z_2) S(z_2, z_3) S(z_3, z_1) + (\text{other terms}). \quad (117)$$

By using the explicit expression of $S(z_1, z_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(z_1, z_2) S(z_2, z_3) S(z_3, z_1) &= \frac{1}{8z_{12}z_{23}z_{31}} \left\{ 2 + \left[\frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} + \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_3)} + \frac{u(z_3)}{u(z_1)} \right] + \left[\frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_3)} + \frac{u(z_3)}{u(z_2)} \right] \right\}. \quad (118) \end{aligned}$$

These factors combined with the other factors in eq. (111) give vanishing contribution to the n -particle amplitude by using the following “vanishing identities”:

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} + \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} \right\} S_n(x) = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \quad (119)$$

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} - (-1)^n \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} \right\} (S_1(x))^n = 0, \quad n = 2, 3. \quad (120)$$

These identities can be proved by modular invariance and simple “power counting” which were explained in detail in [31].

The terms in eq. (115) have already been discussed in [14]. Here we briefly review the argument. We have

$$\langle \psi(q_1) \psi(q_2) \prod_i k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle \propto S(q_1, z_1) S(z_1, z_2) S(z_2, z_3) S(z_3, q_2) + \dots \quad (121)$$

By using the explicit expression of $S(z, w)$ and note that $u(q_2) = -u(q_1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(q_1, z_1) S(z_1, z_2) S(z_2, z_3) S(z_3, q_2) &\propto \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{u(q_1)}{u(z_i)} - \frac{u(z_i)}{u(q_1)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} - \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} + \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_3)} - \frac{u(z_3)}{u(z_1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_3)} - \frac{u(z_3)}{u(z_2)} + \frac{u(q_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_1)u(z_3)} - \frac{u(z_1)u(z_3)}{u(q_1)u(z_2)}. \quad (122) \end{aligned}$$

These terms also give vanishing contributions as we can prove the following identities:

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} - \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} \right\} = 0, \quad (123)$$

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_3)u(z_4)} - \frac{u(z_3)u(z_4)}{u(z_1)u(z_2)} \right\} = 0. \quad (124)$$

These identities were firstly proved in [14]. The proof is quite simple by using modular invariance. For example we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} - \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{y(z_1)y(z_2)} \sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^3 (z_1 - A_i)(z_2 - B_i) - \prod_{i=1}^3 (z_1 - B_i)(z_2 - A_i) \right\} \\ &\propto \frac{(z_1 - z_2) P(a)}{y(z_1)y(z_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

which must be vanishing as the degrees of the homogeneous polynomials (in a_i and z_j) don't match. Here we have used again the modular invariance of the above expression.⁸

The last term we need to compute is the term in eq. (116). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle : \psi(q_1) \cdot \partial \psi(q_1) : \prod_i k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle_c = K(1, 2, 3) \\ & \times (S(x, z_1, z_2, z_3) + S(x, z_2, z_3, z_1) + S(x, z_3, z_1, z_2) \\ & - S(x, z_1, z_3, z_2) - S(x, z_2, z_1, z_3) - S(x, z_3, z_2, z_1)), \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

⁸The minus sign in eq. (123) makes the expression invariant under the all the modular transformations. With a plus sign the expression is only invariant under a subgroup of the modular transformation. Nevertheless eq. (123) is still true with a plus sign. The explicit results are:

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)}{u(z_2)} + \frac{u(z_2)}{u(z_1)} \right\} = 0, \quad (126)$$

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_3)u(z_4)} + \frac{u(z_3)u(z_4)}{u(z_1)u(z_2)} \right\} = \frac{2P(a)z_{13}z_{14}z_{23}z_{24} \prod_{i=1}^4 (a_1 - z_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i) \prod_{i=2}^6 (a_1 - a_i)}. \quad (127)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K(1, 2, 3) = & k_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 k_2 \cdot \epsilon_1 k_3 \cdot \epsilon_2 - k_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 k_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 k_3 \cdot \epsilon_1 \\
& + k_1 \cdot k_2 (k_3 \cdot \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 - k_3 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3) \\
& + k_2 \cdot k_3 (k_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_1 - k_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_1) \\
& + k_3 \cdot k_1 (k_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 - k_2 \cdot \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_2). \tag{129}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $K(1, 2, 3)$ is invariant under the cyclic permutations of $(1, 2, 3)$. It is antisymmetric under the interchange $2 \leftrightarrow 3$. We have used these properties in eq. (128).

To compute explicitly these expressions we first note the following:

$$\partial_x S(z, x) = \frac{1}{2(z-x)^2} \frac{u(z) + u(x)}{\sqrt{u(z)u(x)}} - \frac{S_1(x)}{8(z-x)} \frac{u(z) - u(x)}{\sqrt{u(z)u(x)}}. \tag{130}$$

In order to do the summation over spin structure we need a “non-vanishing identity”. This and other identities needed in the 4-particle amplitude computations are summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} & \left\{ \frac{u(z_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_3)u(z_4)} - (-1)^n \frac{u(z_1)u(z_2)}{u(z_3)u(z_4)} \right\} (S_m(x))^n \\
& = \frac{2P(a) \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=3}^4 (z_i - z_j) \prod_{i=1}^4 (x - z_i)}{y^2(x) \prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i)} \times C_{n,m}, \tag{131}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{1,1} = 1, \tag{132}$$

$$C_{2,1} = -2(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_2 - \tilde{z}_3 - \tilde{z}_4), \tag{133}$$

$$C_{1,2} = \Delta_1(x) - \sum_{k=1}^4 \tilde{z}_k, \tag{134}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{3,1} & = 2\Delta_2(x) - \Delta_1^2(x) + 2\Delta_1(x) \sum_{k=1}^4 \tilde{z}_k \\
& \quad + 4(\tilde{z}_1 \tilde{z}_2 - 2(\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_2)(\tilde{z}_3 + \tilde{z}_4) + \tilde{z}_3 \tilde{z}_4) \\
& \equiv C_{3,1}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, x), \tag{135}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{z}_k = \frac{1}{x - z_k}, \tag{136}$$

$$P(a) = \sum_{i < j} (a_i - a_j). \tag{137}$$

$C_{1,1}$ and $C_{1,2}$ were derived in [16]. Although other values of n, m also gives modular invariant expressions, the results are quite complex.⁹ Fortunately we only need to use the above listed results.

By using these formulas we have:

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S(x, z_1, z_2, z_3) S_1(x) = -\frac{P(a)}{16y^2(x)} \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{x - z_i}{y(z_i)}. \quad (138)$$

We note that the above formula is invariant under the interchange $z_i \leftrightarrow z_j$.

By using this result and eq. (128), we have:

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S(q_1, q_2) \langle \psi(q_1) \cdot \partial \psi(q_1) \prod_{i=1}^3 k_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \epsilon_i \cdot \psi(z_i) \rangle_{\delta} = 0. \quad (139)$$

This completes our verification of the non-renormalization theorem at two loops.

6.4 The 4-particle amplitude

To explicitly compute the 4-particle amplitude we need the following summation formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S_n(x) S(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) &= \sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S_n(x) (S(z_1, z_2) S(z_3, z_4))^2 \\ &= \frac{P(a)}{y^2(x)} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{x - z_i}{y(z_i)} \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, \\ \Delta_1(x) - \sum_{i=1}^4 \tilde{z}_i, & n = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} (S(x, z_1) S(z_1, z_2) \partial_x S(z_2, x) + (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2)) (S(z_3, z_4))^2 S_1(x) \\ = -\frac{P(a)}{16 y^2(x)} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{x - z_i}{y(z_i)} (\tilde{z}_{14} \tilde{z}_{23} + \tilde{z}_{13} \tilde{z}_{24}), \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} (S(x, z_1) S(z_1, z_2) S(z_2, z_3) S(z_3, z_4) \partial_x S(z_4, x) \\ + (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_4, z_2 \leftrightarrow z_3)) S_1(x) = \frac{P(a)}{16 y^2(x)} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{x - z_i}{y(z_i)} \tilde{z}_{14} \tilde{z}_{23}, \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

$$\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} (S(z_1, z_2) S(z_3, z_4))^2 (S_1(x))^3$$

⁹This is due to the non-vanishing of the summation over spin structures when we set $z_1 = z_3$ or $z_1 = z_4$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{P(a)}{8y^2(x)} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{x-z_i}{y(z_i)} \left[2\Delta_2(x) - \Delta_1^2(x) + 2\Delta_1(x) \sum_{i=1}^4 \tilde{z}_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4 \left(\sum_{k<l} \tilde{z}_k \tilde{z}_l - 6\tilde{z}_1 \tilde{z}_2 - 6\tilde{z}_3 \tilde{z}_4 \right) \right], \\
&\sum_{\delta} \eta_{\delta} Q_{\delta} S(z_1, z_2) S(z_2, z_3) S(z_3, z_4) S(z_4, z_1) (S_n(x))^3 \\
&= \frac{P(a)}{8y^2(x)} \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{x-z_i}{y(z_i)} C_{3,1}(z_1, z_3, z_2, z_4, x). \tag{143}
\end{aligned}$$

The first formula was derived in [16, 15]. All the rest formulas can be derived by using the formulas given in eqs. (132)–(135) and eq. (130) for the derivative of the Szegő kernel.

After a long calculation we have the following expression for the chiral integrand [30, 32]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} &= c_{II} K(k_i, \epsilon_i) \langle : (\partial X(q_1) + X(q_2)) \cdot (\partial X(q_1) + \partial X(q_2)) : \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{q - z_i}{y(z_i)} \\
&= \frac{c_{II} K(k_i, \epsilon_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 y(z_i)} \prod_{i<j} |E(z_i, z_j)|^{2k_i \cdot k_j} \\
&\quad \times (s(z_1 z_2 + z_3 z_4) + t(z_1 z_4 + z_2 z_3) + u(z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_4)), \tag{144}
\end{aligned}$$

where $K(k_i, \epsilon_i)$ is the standard kinematic factor appearing at tree level and one loop computations [3, 15, 16]. Here we used the explicit correlators for $\langle \partial X(z) \partial X(w) \rangle$ and $\langle \partial X(z) X(w, \bar{w}) \rangle$ given in [37, 15]. As it is expected, the final result is independent on the insertion points $q_{1,2}$ and p_a 's.

For type II superstring theory one can combine the left part and the right part. The final result would be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_4 &= K(k_i, \epsilon_i) \langle : (\partial X(q_1) + \partial X(q_2)) \cdot (\partial X(q_1) + \partial X(q_2)) \\
&\quad \times (\bar{\partial} X(\bar{p}_1) + \partial X(\bar{p}_2)) \cdot (\bar{\partial} X(\bar{p}_1) + \bar{\partial} X(\bar{p}_2)) : \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^4 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{(q - z_i)(\bar{p} - \bar{z}_i)}{|y(z_i)|^2} \\
&= \frac{K(k_i, \epsilon_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 |y(z_i)|^2} \prod_{i<j} |E(z_i, z_j)|^{2k_i \cdot k_j} \\
&\quad \times |s(z_1 z_2 + z_3 z_4) + t(z_1 z_4 + z_2 z_3) + u(z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_4)|^2. \tag{145}
\end{aligned}$$

The amplitude is obtained by integrating over the moduli space. At two loops, the moduli space can be parametrized either by the period matrix or three of the six branch points. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{II}(k_i, \epsilon_i) = & c_{II} K(k_i, \epsilon_i) \int \frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 d^2 a_i / dV_{pr}}{T^5 \prod_{i < j} |a_i - a_j|^2} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^2 z_i}{|y(z_i)|^2} \prod_{i < j} \exp[-k_i \cdot k_j G(z_i, z_j)] \\
& \times |s(z_1 z_2 + z_3 z_4) + t(z_1 z_4 + z_2 z_3) + u(z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_4)|^2, \quad (146)
\end{aligned}$$

where c_{II} is a constant which should be determined by factorization or unitarity (of the S -matrix). The amplitude is an integration of the above integrand over the moduli space.

An immediate application of the above result is to study the perturbative correction to the R^4 term at two loops. In the low energy limit $k_i \rightarrow 0$, the chiral integrand is also 0 apart from the kinematic factor because of some extra factors of s , t and u in eq. (145). This confirms the explicit computation of Iengo [24] by using the old result [16, 15], and it is in agreement with the indirect argument of Green and Gutperle [17], Green, Gutperle and Vanhove [19], and Green and Sethi [18]. Our new result also explicitly verifies the claim given in the the Appendix B of [20].

Acknowledgments

Chuan-Jie Zhu would like to thank Roberto Iengo, Zhu-Jun Zheng and Jun-Bao Wu for fruitful collaborations. He would also like to thank E. D'Hoker and D. Phong for discussions and Jian-Xin Lu and the hospitality at the Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study, Physics, University of Science and Technology of China.

References

- [1] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, "The geometry of string perturbation theory", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60** (1988) 917-1065.
- [2] E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Multiloop Calculations in Covariant Superstring Theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **192B** (1987) 95-102.

- [3] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, “Supersymmetric Dual String Theory III”, Nucl. Phys. **B198** (1982) 441.
- [4] J. H. Schwarz, “Superstring Theory”, Phys. Reports **89** (1982) 223–322.
- [5] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, vols. I and II (Cambridge University Press, 1986).
- [6] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, vols. I and II (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [7] L. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, “The Conformal Field Theory of Orbifolds”, Nucl. Phys. **B282** (1987) 13–73.
- [8] Al. B. Zamolodchikov, “Conform Scalar Field on the Hyperelliptic Curves and Critical Ashkin-Teller Multipoints Correlation Functions”, Nucl. Phys. **B285** [**FS19**] (1987) 481–503.
- [9] M. A. Bershadsky and A. O. Radul, “Conformal Field Theories with Additional $\mathbf{Z}_N$ Symmetry”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A2** (1987) 165–178; “ g -Loop Amplitudes in Bosonic String Theory in Terms of Branch Points”, Phys. Lett. **193B** (1987) 213–218.
- [10] V. G. Knizhnik, “Analytic Fields on Riemann Surface. II”, Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987) 567–590.
- [11] E. Gava, “Conformal Fields on Complex Curves”, preprint LEPTENS 88/1 (jan., 1988) 15 pages.
- [12] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, “Superstring Modification of Einstein’s Equations”, Nucl. Phys. **B277** (1986) 1.
- [13] E. Gava, R. Iengo and G. Sotkov, “Modular Invariance and the Two-Loop Vanishing of the Cosmological Constant”, Phys. Lett. **207B** (1988) 283–291.
- [14] R. Iengo and C.-J. Zhu, “Notes on the Non-Renormalization Theorem in Superstring Theories”, Phys. Lett. **212B** (1988) 309–312.
- [15] C.-J. Zhu, “Two-Loop Computations in Superstring Theories”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4** (1989) 3877–3906.

- [16] R. Iengo and C.-J. Zhu, “Two-Loop Computation of the Four-Particle Amplitude in Heterotic String Theory”, Phys. Lett. **212B** (1988) 313–319.
- [17] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, “Effects of D-instantons”, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 195-227, hep-th/9701093.
- [18] M. B. Green and S. Sethi, “Supersymmetry Constraints on Type IIB Supergravity”, Phys.Rev. **D59** (1999) 046006, hep-th/9808061.
- [19] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, “One Loop in Eleven Dimension”, Phys. Lett. **409B** (1997) 277-184.
- [20] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, “Non-Abelian Born-Infeld action and type I - heterotic duality (I): Heterotic F^6 terms at two loops”, Nucl.Phys. **B647** (2002) 49–68, hep-th/0207026; “Non-Abelian Born-Infeld Action and Type I - Heterotic Duality (II): Nonrenormalization Theorems”, Nucl. Phys. **B648** (2003) 3, hep-th/0209064.
- [21] M. B. Green, “Interconnections Between Type II Superstrings, M Theory and N=4 Supersymmetric Yang–Mills” , hep-th/9903124.
- [22] E. Kiritsis, “Duality and Instantons in String Theory”, in *Proceedings of the 1999 Spring Workshop on Superstrings and Related Matters*, eds. B. Greene, J. Louis, K.S. Narain and S. Randjbar-Daemi (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000), hep-th/9906018.
- [23] R. Iengo and C.-J. Zhu, “Explicit Modular Invariant Two-Loop Superstring Amplitude Relevant for R^4 ”, J. High Energy Phys. **0006** (1999) 011, hep-th/9905050.
- [24] R. Iengo, “Computing the R^4 Term at Two Superstring Loops”, J. High Energy Phys. **0202** (2002) 035, hep-th/0202058.
- [25] E. D’Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Two-Loop Superstrings I, Main Formulas”, Phys. Lett. **B529** (2002) 241-255.
- [26] E. D’Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Two-Loop Superstrings II, The chiral Measure on Moduli Space”, Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 3-60.

- [27] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Two-Loop Superstrings III, Slice Independence and Absence of Ambiguities”, Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 61-79.
- [28] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Two-Loop Superstrings IV, The Cosmological Constant and Modular Forms”, Nucl. Phys. **B639** (2002) 129-181.
- [29] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Lectures on Two-Loop Superstrings—Hangzhou, Beijing 2002”, hep-th/0211111.
- [30] Zhu-Jun Zheng, Jun-Bao Wu and C.-J. Zhu, “Two-Loop Superstrings in Hyperelliptic Language I: the Main Results and the Perturbative Vanishing of the R^4 Term”, hep-th/0212191.
- [31] Zhu-Jun Zheng, Jun-Bao Wu and C.-J. Zhu, “Two-Loop Superstrings in Hyperelliptic Language II: the Vanishing of the Cosmological Constant and the Non-Renormalization Theorem”, hep-th/0212198.
- [32] Zhu-Jun Zheng, Jun-Bao Wu and C.-J. Zhu, “Two-Loop Superstrings in Hyperelliptic Language III: the Four-Particle Amplitude”, hep-th/0212219.
- [33] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Two-Loop Superstrings V, Scattering Amplitudes, the 1-, 2- and 3-point functions”, in preparation.
- [34] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, “Two-Loop Superstrings VI, Scattering Amplitudes: the 4-point function”, in preparation.
- [35] O. Lechtenfeld and A. Parkes, “On Covariant Multiloop Superstring Amplitudes”, Nucl. Phys. **B332** (1990) 39–82.
- [36] M. Bonini and R. Iengo, “Correlation Functions and Zero Modes on Higher Genus Riemann Surface”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A3** (1988) 841–860.
- [37] V. G. Knizhnik, “Explicit Expression for the Two-Loop Measure in the Heterotic String Theory”, Phys. Lett. **196B** (1987) 473–476.
- [38] J. J. Atick and A. Sen, “Covariant One-Loop Fermion Amplitudes in Closed String Theories”, Nucl. Phys. **B293** (1987) 317–347.

- [39] C.-J. Zhu, “Covariant Two-Loop Fermion Amplitude in Closed Superstring Theories”, Nucl. Phys. **B327** (1989) 744–762.